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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1 Introduction

The Government of Egypt (GoE) has immediate priorities to increase household use of natural gas (NG) by connecting 1.2 million households/yr to the gas distribution network to replace the highly subsidized, largely imported Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG).

The GoE is implementing an expansion program for Domestic Natural Gas connections to an additional 1.5 Million households over the next 4 years. The project presented in this study is part of a program that involves extending the network and accompanying infrastructure to connect 1.5 million Households in 11 Governorates between 2016 and 2019 with the assistance of a World Bank Loan of up to US$500 Million and the Agence Française de Développement (French Agency for Development) financing of up to €70 Million. The program is estimated to cost US$850 Million.

The ESIA objectives are as follows:

- Describing project components and activities of relevance to the environmental and social impacts assessments
- Identifying and addressing relevant national and international legal requirements and guidelines
- Describing baseline environmental and social conditions
- Presenting project alternatives and no project alternative
- Assessing potential site-specific environmental and social impacts of the project
- Developing environmental & social management and monitoring plans in compliance with the relevant environmental laws
- Documenting and addressing environmental and social concerns raised by stakeholders and the Public in consultation events and activities

As the project involves components in various areas within the 11 governorates, the parties to the project agreed that the Site-Specific Environmental and Social Impact Assessments (SSESIA) for each of the project sub-areas within the governorate will be prepared. Guided by the 2013 Environmental and Social Impact Assessment Framework (ESIAF) and Supplementary Social Impact Assessment Framework (SSIAF), this is the site specific ESIA for the connections network planned for Qena El Gedida in Qena Governorate. The project in Qena El Gedida encompasses 24,020 household connections to be connected in year 3 of the 3-year project.

The local distribution company responsible for project implementation in Qena El Gedida is Regions Gas Company (ReGas).
2  Project Description

2.1  Background

Natural Gas is processed and injected into the high pressure lines of the national Grid (70 Bar) for transmission. Upon branching from the main lines to regional distribution networks, the pressure of the NG is lowered to 7 Bar at the Pressure Reduction Stations (PRS). An odorant is added to the NG at PRSs feeding distribution networks to residential areas\(^1\) in order to facilitate detection. Regulators are then used to further lower the pressure to 100 mbar in the local networks, before finally lowering the pressure to 20 mbar for domestic use within the households. In addition to excavation and pipe laying, key activities of the construction phase also include installation of pipes on buildings, internal connections in households, and conversion of appliance nozzles to accommodate the switch from LPG to NG.

2.2  Project Work Packages

2.2.1  Main feeding line/network “7 bar system – PE 100”

A gas distribution piping system that operates at a pressure higher than the standard service pressure delivered to the customer. In such a system, a service regulator is required to control the pressure delivered to the customer.

Main feeding lines are mainly constructed from polyethylene pipes (HDPE) with maximum operating pressure (MOP) below 7 bar.

2.2.2  Distributions network “Regulators, PE80 Networks”

A gas distribution piping system in which the gas pressure in the mains and service lines is substantially the same as that delivered to the customer's Meters. In such a system, a service regulator is not required on the individual service lines.

Distribution networks are mainly constructed from polyethylene pipes (MDPE) with MOP below 100 millibar.

2.2.3  Installations (Steel Pipes)

A gas distribution piping system consists of steel pipes which are connected from individual service line to vertical service pipe in a multistory dwelling which may have laterals connected at appropriate floor levels; in addition to service pipe connected to a riser and supplying gas to a meter and gas appliances on one floor of a building.

Internal Installation consists of pipe connecting the pressure reducing regulator/district Governor and meter Outlet (MOP 25 millibar) to appliances inside the customer's premises.

2.2.4  Conversions

Conversions involve increasing the diameter of the nozzle of the burner of an appliance to work with natural gas as a fuel gas rather LPG or others.

\(^1\) Because natural gas is odorless, odorants facilitate leak detection for inhabitants of residential areas.
3 Legislative and Regulatory Framework

3.1 Applicable Environmental and Social Legislation in Egypt

- Law 217/1980 for Natural Gas
- Law 38/1967 for General Cleanliness
- Law 93/1962 for Wastewater
- Law 117/1983 for Protection of Antiquities
- Traffic planning and diversions
  - Law 140/1956 on the utilization and blockage of public roads
  - Law 84/1968 concerning public roads
- Work environment and operational health and safety
  - Articles 43 – 45 of Law 4/1994, air quality, noise, heat stress, and worker protection
  - Law 12/2003 on Labor and Workforce Safety
  - Book V on Occupational Safety and Health (OSH)
  - Minister of Labor Decree 55/1983.
  - Minister of Industry Decree 91/1985

3.2 World Bank Safeguard Policies

Three policies are triggered for the project as a whole: Environmental Assessment (OP/BP 4.01), Physical Cultural Resources (OP/BP 4.11), and Involuntary Resettlement (OP/BP 4.12). However, OP/BP 4.12 will not be applicable to Qena El Gedida as no land acquisition or resettlement is anticipated. Particularly, as the network will pass through the main urban roads/streets and side roads without causing any damage to private assets or lands.

In addition to the above mentioned safeguards policies, the Directive and Procedure on Access to Information\(^2\) will be followed by the Project.

4 Analysis of Alternatives

4.1 No Project Alternative

This Natural Gas Connections to Households Project is expected to yield many economic and social benefits in terms of providing a more stable, energy source, achieve savings in LPG consumption and enhance safety in utilizing energy.

The No-Project alternative is not favored as it simply deprives the Egyptian Public and Government of the social, economic, and environmental advantages.

4.2 Energy Alternatives

- **Maintain LPG Use**: Introduction of piped natural gas to replace LPG will help to remove subsidies and reduce imports. The proposed project would also improve the safety of gas utilization as appliance standards are strictly controlled and only qualified personnel carry out installations and respond to emergencies. In the case of LPG, installations are not carried out by trained personnel resulting in possible unsafe installations and unsafe use of LPG.

- **Convert to Electricity**: The second alternative is to convert all homes to use electricity for all energy supply applications. Additional power stations would be needed to cope with the additional demand created by utilization of electricity in homes, which most probably would operate also by natural gas. Power losses in transmission and distribution are also significantly higher than their natural gas equivalents which would add to the overall inefficiency.

- **Use Renewables**: The renewables market does not present feasible, practical, and affordable alternatives to connecting 1.5 million households at this point in time in Egypt. Biogas requires large amounts of agricultural and domestic waste, while solar panels and heaters remain in pilot phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy alternatives do not provide favorable options to the proposed NG networking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

4.3 Installation costs

The average natural gas connection installation cost is about 5600 EGP and consumers contribute a part of 1700 LE because the connection is heavily subsidized by the Government. This payment can be made either upfront or in installments over a period of time. Installment schemes are available to all community people.

The government of Egypt is negotiating with the project’s financing organizations in order to secure additional subsidy to poor and marginalized groups. They also provide facilitation payments strategies through offering various installment schemes. The following are the main types of installments: 138 EGP/Month for 12 months, 74 EGP/Month for 24 months, 52 EGP/Month for 36 months, 42 EGP/Month for 48 months, 35 EGP/Month for 60 months, 31 EGP/Month for 72 months and 28 EGP/Month for 84 months.
Environmental and Social Impacts and Mitigations

The environmental and social advantages of switching household fuel from LPG cylinders to natural gas pipelines are diverse. On the residential level, the proposed project will lead to improved safety, reduced physical/social/financial hardships, and secure home fuel supply. On the national level, it promotes the utilization of Egyptian natural resources and reduces the subsidy and import burden.

A thorough analysis of environmental and social impacts is important to detail an effective management and monitoring plan which will minimize negative impacts and maximize positives.

The assessment of impacts distinguishes between the construction phase and the operation phase.

5.1 Positive Impacts

5.1.1 During the construction phase

*Create Direct job opportunities to skilled and semi-skilled laborers*

- The project is expected to result in the creation of job opportunities, both directly and indirectly. Based on similar projects implemented recently by EGAS and the local distribution companies, the daily average number of workers during the peak time will be about 350 workers in the construction sites across Qena El Gedida. The local community of Qena Governorate could provide a proportion of this temporary labour force depending on skills needed and the strategies of the individual contractors in sourcing their workforce.
- The total number of new short term job opportunities within the project areas is estimated at 60 temporary jobs.
- In order to maximize employment opportunities in the local communities it is anticipated that training will be required for currently unskilled workers. On-the-job training will also supplement opportunities for the local workforce for both temporary construction roles and for long-term operation phase positions, where these are available.

*Create indirect opportunities*

- As part of the construction stage, a lot of indirect benefits are expected to be sensed in the targeted areas due to the need for more supporting services to the workers and contractors who will be working in the various locations. This could include, but will not be limited to accommodation, food supply, transport, trade, security, manufacturing… etc.

5.1.2 During the operation phase

- As indicated in the Baseline chapter, women are key players in the current domestic activities related to handling LPG cylinders and managing its shortage. Being the party affected most from the shortfalls of the use of LPG, the NG project is expected to be of
special and major benefits to women. This includes, but is not limited to, clean and continuous source of fuel that is safe and does not require any physical effort and is very reasonable in the terms of consumption cost. Time saving is among the benefits to women. The use of a reliable source of energy will allow women to accomplish the domestic activities in less time and this will potentially open a space for better utilization for the saved time.

- Constantly available and reliable fuel for home use.
- Reduced expenditure on LPG importation and subsidies, as 24,02 thousand connections will be installed in Qena El Gedida City. Each household consumes 1.5 LPG cylinders monthly. Accordingly, the total number of LPG cylinders to be reduced is about 33.6 thousand cylinders per month. The subsidy value is about 70 EGP per cylinder, consequently, the total subsidy saved monthly will be about 2.352 million EGP. This will result in total annual subsidy savings of 28.224 million EGP.
- Significantly lower leakage and fire risk compared to LPG.
- Improved safety due to low pressure (20 mBar) compared to cylinders.
- Beneficiaries to benefit from good customer service and emergency response by qualified personnel/technicians.
- Eliminate the hardships that special groups like the physically challenged, women, and the elderly had to face in handling LPG.
- Limiting possible child labor in LPG cylinder distribution

5.2 Anticipated Negative Impacts

5.2.1 Impact Assessment Methodology

To assess the impacts of the project activities on environmental and social receptors, a semi-quantitative approach based on the Leopold Impact Assessment Methodology with the Buroz Relevant Integrated Criteria was adopted.

The table below presents the classification of impact ratings and respective importance of impact values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Importance of Impact</th>
<th>Impact rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-25</td>
<td><strong>None</strong> or irrelevant (no impact);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-50</td>
<td><strong>Minor</strong> severity (minimal impact; restricted to the work site and immediate surroundings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-75</td>
<td><strong>Medium</strong> severity (larger scale impacts: local or regional; appropriate mitigation measures readily available);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-300</td>
<td><strong>Major</strong> severity (Severe/long-term local/regional/global impacts; for negative impacts mitigation significant).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following tables summarize the impacts and the corresponding mitigation measures within the management plan, in addition to the monitoring plans proposed for implementation.
5.3 Environmental and Social Management Matrix during CONSTRUCTION

Table 1: Environmental and Social Management Matrix during CONSTRUCTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Means of supervision</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local traffic and accessibility</td>
<td>Traffic congestion (and associated noise/air emissions)</td>
<td>Excavation during off-peak periods, Time limited excavation permits granted by local unit &amp; traffic department</td>
<td>Excavation contractors, LDC, Excavation contractors</td>
<td>Contractor has valid conditional permit + Field supervision</td>
<td>Contractor costs, LDC management costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Announcements + Signage indicating location/duration of works prior to commencement of work</td>
<td>LDC, Excavation contractors</td>
<td>Ensure inclusion in contract + Field supervision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Apply Horizontal Directional Drilling under critical intersections whenever possible to avoid heavy traffic delays</td>
<td>Contractor, LDC, HSE</td>
<td>Field supervision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic detours and diversion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Traffic Department</td>
<td>Field supervision for detouring efficiency, Complaints received from traffic department</td>
<td>Additional budget not required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Road restructuring and closing of lanes</td>
<td>Controlled wetting and compaction of excavation/backfilling surrounding area</td>
<td>Excavation Contractor, LDC HSE</td>
<td>Field supervision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient air quality</td>
<td>Increased emissions of dust and gaseous pollutants</td>
<td>Isolation, covering, transportation in equipped vehicles and disposal of stockpiles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Compliance to legal limits of air emissions from all relevant equipment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Means of supervision</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mitigation</td>
<td>Supervision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Availability of 24-7 hotline service (129) to all beneficiaries and the public for reporting possible leaks, damages or emergencies</td>
<td>LDC</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Field Supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quick response to gas leaks by evacuation of the affected area</td>
<td>LDC</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Field Supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Repair or replacement of failed component</td>
<td>LDC</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Field Supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ear muffs, ear plugs, certified noise PPE for workers</td>
<td>LDC</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Field supervision (audits)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Avoid noisy works at night whenever possible</td>
<td>LDC</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Field supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coordination with departments of potable water, wastewater, electricity, and telecom authorities to obtain maps/data on underground utilities, whenever available</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Official coordination proceedings signed by representatives of utility authorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>If maps/data are unavailable: Perform limited trial pits or boreholes to explore and identify underground utility lines using non-intrusive equipment</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Contractual clauses + Field supervision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Preparation and analysis of accidental damage reports</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Review periodic HSE reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Repair and rehabilitation of damaged components</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Contractual clauses + Field supervision</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Means of supervision**

- Field Supervision
- Field supervision (audits)
- Field supervision
- Field supervision
- Contractual clauses + Field supervision (audits)
- Contractual clauses + Field supervision
- Review periodic HSE reports
- Contractual clauses + Field supervision

**Estimated Cost**

- Contractor costs
- Contractor costs
- Contractor management costs
- Contractor management costs
- Contractor costs
- LDC management costs
- LDC management costs
- LDC management costs
### Receptor Impact Mitigation measures Responsibility Means of supervision Estimated Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Means of supervision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Streets (physical status) local community and workers (health and safety) | Hazardous waste accumulation | - Temporary storage in areas with impervious floor  
- Safe handling using PPE and safety precautions  
- Transfer to LDC depots for temporary storage  
- Disposal at licensed Alexandria hazardous waste facilities (Nasreya or UNICO)  
- Hand-over selected oils and lubricants and their containers to Petrotrade for recycling | LDC  
LDC HSE  
Excavation Contractor | Field supervision and review of certified waste handling, transportation, and disposal chain of custody | Indicative cost items included in contractor bid: Chemical analysis of hazardous waste  
Trucks from licensed handler  
Pre-treatment (if needed)  
Disposal cost at Nasreya  
Approximate cost of the above (to be revised upon project execution): 8,000-10,000 LE per ton |
| | | - Adequate management of asbestos and any possible hazardous waste | Water Authority + contractor | Field supervision + review of Water Authority manifests |
| | | | | Contractor costs |

---

```
Executive Summary: Site-specific ESIA NG Connection 1.5 Million HHs- Qena Governorate/ Qena El Gedida – September 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Means of supervision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Streets (physical status) local community and workers (health and safety) | Hazardous waste accumulation | - Temporary storage in areas with impervious floor  
- Safe handling using PPE and safety precautions  
- Transfer to LDC depots for temporary storage  
- Disposal at licensed Alexandria hazardous waste facilities (Nasreya or UNICO)  
- Hand-over selected oils and lubricants and their containers to Petrotrade for recycling | LDC  
LDC HSE  
Excavation Contractor | Field supervision and review of certified waste handling, transportation, and disposal chain of custody | Indicative cost items included in contractor bid: Chemical analysis of hazardous waste  
Trucks from licensed handler  
Pre-treatment (if needed)  
Disposal cost at Nasreya  
Approximate cost of the above (to be revised upon project execution): 8,000-10,000 LE per ton |
| | | - Adequate management of asbestos and any possible hazardous waste | Water Authority + contractor | Field supervision + review of Water Authority manifests |
| | | | | Contractor costs |
```
### Receptor Impact Mitigation measures Responsibility Means of supervision Estimated Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Means of supervision</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimize fueling, lubricating and any activity onsite that would entail production of hazardous materials empty containers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Field supervision</td>
<td>LDC management costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-Plan the anticipated amounts of hazardous liquid materials (such as paint, oils, lubricants, fuel) to be used in the various activities in order to minimize leftovers and residuals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To the extent practical, seek to combine leftovers or residuals of the same liquid material/waste in order to minimize the number of containers containing hazardous residuals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensure hazardous liquid material/waste containers are always sealed properly and secured from tipping/falling/damage/direct sunlight during transportation and storage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| In case of spillage:  
  o avoid inhalation and sources of ignition  
  o cover and mix with sufficient amounts of sand using PPE  
  o collect contaminated sand in clearly marked secure containers/bags  
  o Add sand to inventory of hazardous waste | | | | | |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Means of supervision</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Local community                 | Non-hazardous waste accumulation      | 1. Designate adequate areas on-site for temporary storage of backfill and non-hazardous waste  
  2. Segregate waste streams to the extent possible to facilitate re-use/recycling, if applicable  
  3. Reuse non-hazardous waste to the extent possible  
  4. Estimate size of fleet required to transport wastes.  
  5. Transfer waste to Qena El Gedida disposal facility South East of the city | LDC Excavation Contractor LDC HSE | Contractual clauses  
  Monitoring of waste management plan  
  Field supervision | Contractor costs  
  LDC management costs |
| Local community                 | Destruction of streets and pavement    | - Arrange Restoration and re-pavement (رد الشئ لأصله) with local unit  
  - Communication with local community on excavation and restoration schedules. | LDC in cooperation with the LGU EGAS | Field supervision  
  Coordination with LGU as needed | Included in re-pavement budget agreed by LDC with local units or Roads and Bridges Directorate |
| Occupational health and safety  | Health and safety                     | 1. Full compliance to EGAS and LDC HSE requirements, manuals, and actions as per detailed manuals developed by Egypt Gas  
  2. Ensure the provision of the appropriate personal protective Equipment and other equipment needed to ensure compliance to HSE manuals | Excavation Contractor LDC HSE and EGAS SDO | Field supervision | Contractor costs  
  LDC management costs |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Means of supervision</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Local communities and businesses | Lack of accessibility to businesses due to delay in street rehabilitation | Compliance with the Environmental management plan concerning timely implementation of the construction schedule to minimize impact on local business
• Follow up the procedure of Grievance Redress Mechanism
• Ensure transparent information sharing | During digging process
LDC
The sub-contractors | \_ Ensure the implementation of GRM
\_ Supervision on Contractors performance | No cost |
| Local community Health and safety | Threat to Safety of users and houses (due to limited level of awareness and misconceptions) | Prepare Citizen engagement and stakeholder plan
Awareness raising campaigns should be tailored in cooperation with the community-based organizations | During the construction
LDC | \_ List of awareness activities applied
\_ Lists of participants
\_ Documentation with photos
\_ Awareness reports | \_ 2250 $ per awareness raising campaign
\_ 2250 $ for brochure and leaflets to be distributed (material available by EGAS-$/ spent) |
## 5.4 Environmental and Social Monitoring Matrix during CONSTRUCTION

### Table 2: Environmental and Social Monitoring Matrix during CONSTRUCTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Monitoring indicators</th>
<th>Responsibility of monitoring</th>
<th>Frequency of monitoring</th>
<th>Location of monitoring</th>
<th>Methods of monitoring</th>
<th>Estimated Cost of monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local traffic and accessibility</td>
<td>Reduction of traffic flow and accessibility to local community</td>
<td>Comments and notifications from Traffic Department</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Monthly during construction.</td>
<td>Construction site</td>
<td>Documentation in HSE monthly reports Complaints log</td>
<td>LDC management costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient air quality</td>
<td>Increased air emissions</td>
<td>HC, CO% and opacity</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Once before construction + once every six months for each vehicle</td>
<td>Vehicular licensing Department</td>
<td>Measurements and reporting of exhaust emissions of construction activities machinery Complaints log</td>
<td>LDC management costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambient noise levels</td>
<td>Increased noise levels</td>
<td>Noise intensity, exposure durations and noise impacts</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Regularly during site inspections and once during the night in every residential area or near sensitive receptors such as hospitals</td>
<td>Construction site</td>
<td>Measurements of noise levels Complaints log</td>
<td>LDC management costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underground utilities</td>
<td>Damages to underground utilities and infrastructure</td>
<td>Official coordination reports with relevant authorities Accidents documentation</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Monthly during construction.</td>
<td>Construction site</td>
<td>Documentation in HSE monthly reports</td>
<td>LDC management costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical state of street</td>
<td>Waste generation</td>
<td>Observation of accumulated waste piles</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>During construction. Monthly reports</td>
<td>Construction site</td>
<td>Observation and documentation</td>
<td>LDC management costs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Monitoring indicators</th>
<th>Responsibility of monitoring</th>
<th>Frequency of monitoring</th>
<th>Location of monitoring</th>
<th>Methods of monitoring</th>
<th>Estimated Cost of monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Observation of water accumulations resulting from dewatering (if encountered)</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>During construction. Monthly reports</td>
<td>Around construction site</td>
<td>Observation and documentation</td>
<td>LDC management costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Chain-of-custody and implementation of waste management plans</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Zonal reports</td>
<td>Construction site and document examination</td>
<td>Site inspection and document inspection</td>
<td>LDC management costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local community</strong></td>
<td>Damaging to the streets</td>
<td>Streets quality after finishing digging Number of complaints due to street damage</td>
<td>LDC, EGAS</td>
<td>Four times per year, each three months</td>
<td>Site and Desk work</td>
<td>Checklists and complaints log</td>
<td>No cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local community</strong></td>
<td>Threat to Safety of users and houses (due to limited level of awareness and misconceptions)</td>
<td>Number of awareness raising implemented Number of participants in information dissemination</td>
<td>LDC, EGAS</td>
<td>Quarterly monitoring</td>
<td>Office</td>
<td>Reports Photos Lists of participants</td>
<td>No cost</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5.5 Environmental and Social Management Matrix during OPERATION

#### Table 3: Environmental and Social Management Matrix during OPERATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Means of supervision</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mitigation</td>
<td>Supervision</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| - Ambient air quality  | - Community health and safety | Network integrity | - Detailed review of the geotechnical and geological history of the project area  
- Development of a full emergency response plan  
- Random inspections and awareness campaigns to ensure that NG piping and components (both inside the household and outside) are not be altered, violated, or intruded upon in any way without written approval from, or implementation of the alteration by, the LDC.  
- Availability of 24-7 hotline service (129) to all beneficiaries and the public for reporting possible leaks, damages or emergencies  
- Quick response to gas leaks by evacuation of the affected area  
- Repair or replacement of failed component | LDC | LDC HSE. | - Map and local geotechnical report review  
- Site inspections  
- Awareness actions  
- Periodical trainings and drills | - LDC management costs |
<p>| - Ambient air quality  | - Community health and safety | Repairs and maintenance (network and households) | As with construction phase activities | LDC | LDC HSE | As relevant from construction phase | LDC management costs |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Receptor</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Means of supervision</th>
<th>Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Economically disadvantaged Community members | Financial burden on economically disadvantaged due to the installments | - Petro Trade should collect the installment immediately after the installation of NG  
- The installments should be collected on monthly basis in order not to add burden to the poor, as it will be easier for them to pay on monthly basis  
- The installment should not be high | Petro trade (Company responsible for collecting the consumption fees and the installments) | EGAS                  | Banks loans log Complaints raised by poor people due to the frequency of collecting the installments | No cost        |
| Informal LPG distributors                    | Loss of revenue for LPG distributors                                   | - LPG distributors should be informed about the NG potential areas in order to enable them to find alternative areas  
- They should be informed about the GRM in order to enable them to voice any hardship | Butagasco             | EGAS                  | Information sharing activities with the LPG vendors Grievances received from them     | No cost        |
| Community health and safety                  | Possibility of Gas leakage                                            | - Information should be provided to people in order to be fully aware about safety procedures  
- The hotline should be operating appropriately  
- People should be informed of the Emergency Numbers | LDC                  | LDC                  | Complaints raised due to Gas leakage                                                 | No cost        |
### 5.6 Environmental and Social Monitoring Matrix during OPERATION

**Table 4: Environmental and Social Monitoring Matrix during OPERATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Monitoring indicators</th>
<th>Responsibility of monitoring</th>
<th>Monitoring Frequency</th>
<th>Location of monitoring</th>
<th>Methods of monitoring</th>
<th>Monitoring Estimated Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Network integrity</strong></td>
<td>- Earthquakes or geotechnical settlements</td>
<td>LDC HSE</td>
<td>Bi-annual inspections and annual emergency response drills</td>
<td>Along the network and inside and outside households</td>
<td>- Inspection, leakage detection, running the drills</td>
<td>LDC management costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Emergency response time and corrective actions during emergency drills</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Reports of alteration or tampering with ANY gas components</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Financial burden on economically disadvantaged due to the installments</strong></td>
<td>- Number of economically disadvantaged people who complained</td>
<td>LDC and Petro Trade, EGAS</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Desk work</td>
<td>- Complaints log</td>
<td>No cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Number of those who can't pay the installment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Bank reports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Petro trade reports</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact on the informal LPG distributors</strong></td>
<td>- Grievance received from the informal LPG distributors</td>
<td>EGAS, LDC</td>
<td>Quarterly</td>
<td>Desk work</td>
<td>- Complaints log</td>
<td>No cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Information shared with them</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Possibility of Gas leakage</strong></td>
<td>- Complaints raised by the community people</td>
<td>LDC, EGAS</td>
<td>Four times per year, each three months</td>
<td>Site and Desk work</td>
<td>Complaints log LDC</td>
<td>No cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Number of leakage accidents reported/raised</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6 Stakeholder Engagement and Public Consultation

The public consultation chapter aims to highlight the key consultation and community engagement activities that took place as part of the preparation of the ESIs and their outcomes. Following are the main groups consulted during the SSIESIA and the engagement tools used.

Table 5: Summary of Consultation Activities in Qena City

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>During the site specific study</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government officials</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>In-depth</td>
<td>September and October 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGOs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>In-depth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community people</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>FGD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential beneficiaries people</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Structured questionnaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential beneficiaries, government officials, NGO representatives,</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Public consultation 7th of February 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.1 Main Results of Consultation during the Data Collection Phase

The majority of sample surveyed expressed very high demand on the project. They also indicted their willingness to be connected to the NG regardless of the amount of money they can afford to pay. This high level of enthusiasm from the local communities towards the project is attributed to the high level of awareness of the natural gas benefits and the current hardships that the households are facing to secure LPG cylinders.

Table 6: Sample of the main issues raised during data collection and scoping phase in Qena El Gedida

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Questions and comments</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediate implementation of the project</td>
<td>As the town is being under construction, it is strongly recommended to implement the project with no delay. The majority of roads have not been paved yet, thus the NG LDCs will not pay the cost of streets rehabilitation</td>
<td>This recommendation will be considered.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Damaging the street</td>
<td>The NG project is notorious for damaging streets. Qena is privileged with a good paved roads network system. The NG damaged all roads without rehabilitating them.</td>
<td>Street rehabilitation is implemented in full cooperation with the Local Governmental Units. The LDCs pay for street rehabilitation and the LGU performs the paving works in accordance to their paving plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street dust</td>
<td>Where will the LDC dispose the street digging dust?</td>
<td>Dust will be transferred to the nearest dump site.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Executive Summary - Site-specific ESIA NG Connection 1.5 Million HHs - Qena Governorate/ Qena El Gedida  - September 2016

#### Subject | Questions and comments | Responses
---|---|---
**Emission reduction impact** | The NG emissions are much below the levels of the LPG. This is an important environmental impact of the project. | This will be considered.  

**Gas connections to governmental entities** | Will the project install NG to governmental entities? | There is a probability to install NG to governmental entities if they are technically accepted.  
A cost estimate will be developed prior to the contracting.  

**Information sharing** | The project should provide sufficient information to those who are reluctant to install NG. This can be properly done in full cooperation with the NGOs. | This recommendation is useful and will be taken into consideration.  

**Type of information required** | The LDCs should inform the community about the benefits of the NG. Yes, the project has a wide range of acceptance among the community but the LDCs need to have their customers. | To be considered  

**Job opportunities** | The job opportunities will be presented to the community people. | This will be adhered to by the contractor as the local workers will save costs for the contractors  

**Noise** | Will the project result in any noise and disturbance to the community? | Noise impact is limited to few hours in the areas. The digging machines will cause noise.  

**Obligation to restore street conditions** | The governor should oblige the LDCs to restore streets. If they don't restore street to its original conditions they must be penalized and fined. | The LDCs pay to the Local Governmental Unit the restoration cost. The Governor must push the LGU to do the paving.  

**Fluctuation of LPG cylinder prices** | Please install the NG to our area as we pay a lot for the LPG cylinder. We are poor, so we can’t afford LPG cost. | The LDCs have their plans to install to 2.4 million households. Thus, the beneficiary will benefit from the NG or from the saved LPG costs.  

**High temperatures impacts on the LPG cylinder** | The LPG probability to explode is relatively high due to the high temperature in upper Egypt. Thousands of people were burnt in such explosions before. | NG is much safer than the LPG.  

**Concerns related to maintenance** | We have many concerns regarding the safety of NG. Also, the periodic maintenance and quick response in case of facing any problem | The LDC monitors the pipelines. In case any leakage occurs, the valves are shut down.  
In case of any leakage there is a hotline working 24 hours 7 days a week. It is 129.  

Female benefit from NG
Most probably females will benefit a lot from NG as they will not suffer from any NG cut off. As well, they will not carry heavy LPG cylinders to install.
NG has various benefits for women.

Form a money pool to install the NG
We will start forming a money pool to install NG starting with the poorest.
EGAS arranged with the bank to provide various installation schemes

On the 7th of February 2016, a public consultation event was conducted in Qena City (the capital city of Qena Governorate) to which all areas of relevance to the project in Qena Governorate were invited. His Excellency the governor of Qena attended. This encouraged the head of municipalities and various governmental entities, NGOs, Qena university and some community people to attend the consultation event. Comprehensive documentation and presentation for the results of the public consultation conducted in Qena City on the 7th of February is presented in the SSESIA allocated for Qena City.

6.2 Summary of consultation outcomes

The key message comprehended from various consultation activities is that the project is widely accepted by the community. Yet, some concerns were shared by community people. The high temperature of the city was a concern as community people expressed their fear that the pipeline might explode due to the high temperature. Street rehabilitation was ranked as the second main concern raised by community people. Sharing of simple clear information about NG was a demand raised by the residents. The NGOs offered to host any awareness raising activities related to the NG. Some of the stakeholders saw the project as a safety option for their families, therefore they proposed to implement the project immediately.

While WB safeguards and regulations state that a minimum of two large-scale, well-publicized public consultation sessions are a must for projects classified as category ‘A’ projects like the one at hand, additional consultation activities (for example through focus group discussions, in-depth meetings, and interviews) were implemented to reach the most vulnerable and difficult to reach community members. Additionally, in order to obtain larger scale and more quantifiable information, the consultant has conducted surveys in the different sites.

---

3 Clause 14 of OP 4.01 states that: “For Category A projects, the borrower consults these groups at least twice: (a) shortly after environmental screening and before the terms of reference for the EA are finalized; and (b) once a draft EA report is prepared. In addition, the borrower consults with such groups throughout project implementation as necessary to address EA-related issues that affect them.”